Verne, B. Michael

From:

Sent: To: Monday, March 07, 2005 3:15 PM

Verne, B. Michael

Subject: HSR Query

Mike, two short questions based on the following facts. A US company (which happens to be a US limited partnership) ("Seller") is selling one of its portfolio companies (Seller is an investment fund) to another US company ("Buyer") in a 100% share deal. Buyer's worldwide sales/assets are in excess of \$10.7 million but below \$106.2 million. As Seller is a limited partnership and is not taxed, it does not have a financial showing last year's revenues of its portfolio companies. That is kept at the portfolio company level and the Seller is merely a flow through entity. If you add the worldwide sales of the three portfolio companies of Seller in the last year, they are about \$120 million.

The Seller is in the process of liquidating its investments in the three portfolio companies. The first of the three dispositions is not a reportable transaction because the size of the transaction is below \$53.1 million. My question is whether I deduct the sales of this sold entity when determining the size of person test for the second disposition? The size of transaction of the second disposition will be above \$53.1 million. However, if I deduct the worldwide sales in the last year of the first portfolio company from the UPE's worldwide sales (because it now no longer owns the first company), I am below the \$100 million threshold of the size of person test.

My second question concerns calculation of the size of transaction amount. Assume the transaction price is \$55 million, but with a post -closing purchase price adjustment clause which is tied into sales revenues up to the closing. The Seller informs me that sales have been terribly slow, and the post-closing adjustment will probably bring the purchase price below \$50 million. Do I take into account the post-closing adjustment when determining whether the \$53.1 million threshold for the size of transaction is exceeded?

As always, thanks for your help. If you need more details, just let me know.



YES IF AN ENTITY HAS
BEEN DIVESTED TO MOT

(NCLUSE SAKES. IF THE

(NOT, USE FAV.

B-1105